

Attorney's Docket No.: 06269-027001 / PA080021

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Applicant: Scholtens et al.

Art Unit : 2662

Filed

Serial No.: 09/632,393

Examiner: Saba Tsegaye

: August 4, 2000

Title

: CIRCUIT INTEGRITY IN A PACKET-SWITCHED NETWORK

MAIL STOP AF

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

AMENDMENT IN REPLY TO ACTION OF MARCH 21, 2005

Please amend the above-identified application as follows:

CERTIFICATE	OF MAILING BY EXPRESS MAIL	
Express Mail Label No	EF045064085US	
San a C Damaria	June 16, 2005	

Applicant : Scholtens et al. Serial No. : 09/632,393 Filed : August 4, 2000

Page : 10 of 11

§112, Second Paragraph Rejections

Claims 1-9 and 13-15 were rejected under the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. §112, as allegedly being indefinite for failing to point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Specifically, the Office Action stated that, in claims 1 and 4, it was not clear whether "a packet network" recited in line 4 referred to the same packet network recited in line 3.

Applicants have amended claims 1 and 4 to clarify that issue and respectfully request withdrawal of those claim rejections.

The Office Action also stated that, in claim 13, it was not clear whether "a packet network" recited in line 3 referred to the same packet network recited in line 2. Applicants have amended claim 13 to clarify that issue and respectfully request withdrawal of that claim rejection.

Conclusion

It is believed that all of the pending claims have been addressed. However, the absence of a reply to a specific rejection, issue or comment does not signify agreement with or concession of that rejection, issue or comment. In addition, because the arguments made above may not be exhaustive, there may be reasons for patentability of any or all pending claims (or other claims) that have not been expressed. Finally, nothing in this paper should be construed as an intent to concede any issue with regard to any claim, except as specifically stated in this paper, and the amendment of any claim does not necessarily signify concession of unpatentability of the claim prior to its amendment.

No fee is believed to be due. However, please apply any charges or credits to deposit account 06-1050.